# THE PORTABLE FLOATING FISH COLLECTOR ON COUGAR RESERVOIR: SUMMARIZING THREE YEARS OF OPERATIONS

Todd M. Pierce Fisheries Biologist Portland District Feb. 8, 2017





## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

#### Portland District Office

- Sean Askelson, Brandt Bannister, Chris Budai, Ian Chane, Jared Edwards, Scott Fielding, Kristy Fortuny, Dave Griffith, Dave Hamernik, Jeff Hicks, Kathryn Newhouse, Ribha Patel, William Roberts, Mary Karen Scullion, Jeff Sedey, Ryan Souders, Kathryn Tackley, Tina Teed, Elizabeth Wells, Budget Staff, and others
   Lookout Point Office
- Aquatic Stewardship Crew (Greg Taylor, Jane Dalgliesh, Terri Berling, Ben Cram), Budget, Electricians,
  Operators (Desk/ Rovers), GM and PM crews, Supply, Tech Section, Administration
  USGS (Beeman and others)

ODFW (Zymonas, Hogansen, Monzyk, Romer and others) Federal and State Take Permit Authorizers (NOAA/ ODFW)









## **OVERVIEW**

- What is the PFFC?
- Purpose of PFFC
- Season 1, 2, and 3
- What affects collection?
- Copepods
- Lessons learned/ Future applications







## WHAT IS THE PFFC?

Portable Floating Fish Collector

480 volt power supply

Mooring system -4 hydraulic winches and anchors

Water pumps -2 - 40 hp/ 1 - 27 hp

Fish Holding Area

-Hopper

#### Staff

-Biologists, electricians, mechanics







## **PURPOSE OF PFFC**

Cost effective, semi-portable research prototype that will help inform operations and biological performance of future full-scale permanent downstream passage structures at Willamette Valley Projects.

Primary fish of interest:

Spring Chinook Salmon







of Engineers ®



## SEASON 1 (2014): MAY 27 – DEC. 16

#### **Trap Operations**

- -75'- 222' from WTCT
- -Sampled top 8' of water column
- Inflow treatment schedule (randomized)
  - Low- 64 cfs
  - High- 109 cfs
- -USGS equipment attached to vessel

#### **Chinook Behavior**

- -Avoidance once inside trap
  - Inflow velocities not constant
- -Occupying depths below trap (> 8')
- -Mortality
  - Associated with excessive debris
  - Stressors of handling/transport -warm collection/cold release







of Engineers ® Portland District



## **SEASON 1 (2014)**

Total Chinook catch (n = 157)

Missed early spring catch (construction)

Epilimnion very warm -24.4 degrees Celsius at surface

Swimming out/ avoidance within trap

Temporary debris boom installed

46.5% of total catch in fall/ winter







## **TRAP MODIFICATIONS - SHUTDOWN**

Trap off from December 2014 - March 2015

Flow Collection Module raised to achieve proper inflow velocities

Anchors relocated

Permanent debris boom







## SEASON 2 (2015): MARCH 2 – DEC. 31

#### **Trap Operations**

- -42' 111' from WTC tower
- Inflow treatment schedule (randomized)
  - Low- 72 cfs
  - High- 122 cfs
- -USGS equipment attached to vessel

### **Chinook Behavior**

- -Minimal avoidance once inside trap
- -Occupying depths below trap (> 8')
- -Mortality
  - Stressors of handling/transport -warm collection/cold release
  - Isolated debris events
  - High parasite (copepod) loads
  - Predator occupancy









## **COLLECTION EFFICIENCIES- 2015**

2015 USGS Surrogate JSATS and/or PIT release groups collection efficiency

Release groups:

March: 4 of 503 = 0.80%

June: 2 of 505 = 0.40%

September: 0 of 489 = 0.00%

September to November: 6 of 532 = 1.13%









## **SEASON 2 (2015)**

Total Chinook catch (n = 2,661)

High proportion of fry

Peaked in spring and winter

Collection tapers off in early summer

- -Very warm epilimnion
- -Fish are deep
- -Large presence of bass (n >30)

14.6% of total catch in fall/ winter







Goal- maximize catch

How do we accomplish goal?

**TRANSITION INTO 2016** 

- -Remove USGS equipment
- -Maximum inflow (attraction pumps)
- -Keep trap close to WTC tower









## **SEASON 3 (2016)**

Jan. 14 – Mar. 1\*; Apr. 20 - Dec. 31

\*Drawdown (WTCT Repair)

- -Trap off
- -Missed March-April

Peaks in spring and winter

Collection tapers off in early summer -Fish are deep

70.7% of total catch in fall/ winter

Total Chinook catch (n = 1,883)

Why the high catch in October?







## **VARIABLES INFLUENCING PFFC COLLECTION-2016**





U.S.ARI

## **PFFC CATCH RELATED TO RESERVOIR ELEVATION- FALL/ WINTER 2016**







**FALL/ WINTER 2015 VS 2016** 





Portland District

U.S.ARI

## **FLOW INTO WTC TOWER AND RESERVOIR ELEVATION-2016**







**SPRING 2015 FACTORS** 





US Army Corps of Engineers ®

## **SUMMARY 2014-16**



0

Year 3, 2016 (Jan. 14 – Mar. 1; Apr. 20 - Dec. 31)

- -Chinook salmon = 1,883
- -Mostly reservoir-reared subyearlings
- -70.7% of season catch in fall/ winter

US Army Corps of Engineers ®

Portland District

JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC



## FISH MORTALITY RELATED TO COPEPODS

Does not affect fry

Affects yearlings and subyearlings

Fall seems to be most stressful

- -warm handling conditions
- highest copepod infestation (longest residence in reservoir)

2014- max. 29 2015- max. 32 2016- max. 43









## **LESSONS LEARNED/ FUTURE APPLICATIONS**

Debris management #1 priority

Trap and haul- high effort; large crew, crew access (weather affected) Flow competition (PFFC vs WTCT)

WTCT configuration- Utilize diversion tunnel (if or as necessary) Adjustability in ballast (trap height) and intake screens (fine tune inflows) Staffing - all trades

High trap inflow catches more fish than low flow (pumps)

No catch in summer (trap maintenance period)

Peak catch in spring- fry; Peak catch in fall/winter- reservoir- reared subyearlings

Fish occupy pumped outflow (false attraction)

Mortality issues associated with handling and trap & haul; compromised fish health Milling behavior

Predator occupancy





of Engineers ® Portland District



## **QUESTIONS?**





